

Comment on "Levels of Polychlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxins and Dibenzofurans in Crab Tissues from the Newark/Raritan Bay System"

SIR: In a recent publication of *Environmental Science and Technology*, Cai and co-workers (1) suggested that a former 2,4,5-T manufacturing facility in the lower Passaic River could be the sole source of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD) and other polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs) in blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*) samples from the Newark Bay Estuary. The authors' conclusion was based on the reported presence of TCDD in crabs collected in 1991 and 1992 from a single location in Newark Bay, approximately 4 mi from the former 2,4,5-T site, and from three additional sites located in Raritan Bay, at distances of approximately 20–35 mi from the former 2,4,5-T site. In addition, the authors cite as a further basis for their conclusions the results of five dated sediment samples (2, 3) and two blue crab samples (4, 5) previously collected from Newark Bay and the lower Passaic River. These samples were also reported to contain TCDD and other PCDD/Fs. In a companion paper, Cai *et al.* (6) suggested that since crab tissue levels of 2,4,6,8-tetrachlorodibenzothiophene (2,4,6,8-TCDD) "correlated well" with TCDD levels measured in crabs collected from four locations in the estuary, a "common source" is likely responsible for the 2,4,6,8-TCDD and TCDD.